

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/620,873	Applicant(s) AKIMOTO ET AL.	
	Examiner Sath V. Perungavoor	Art Unit 2624	

All Participants:

(1) Sath V. Perungavoor.

(2) David Garrison (Reg. No. 24,298).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 21 February 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner and the applicant's representative discussed the needed Examiner's amendment in order to correct formal matters. These amendments are incorporated in the Examiner's amendment, see office action.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)